



5

EDEN - PARADISE - UTOPIA

SECTION 1

PART 2

Pure Logic Studies and Analysis of the Bible

Book of Origins/Genesis 2:7-Genesis 3:24.

To make man and form from dust, an adult size mannequin, involves, major processes. As from then after, humans form, from the union of, an Egg(ovum) of the female, and a Spermatozoon (sperm) of the male. And the subsequent cell division, into two, then four, and so on, to the actual initial full human shape, in miniature. We stated that breath would not be enough, to start this mannequin going, under what we know. Somehow, man became a

Tree of Life - Organic Immortality

living soul. What biological-medical-biochemical, process, starts off the human baby's heart, is fantastic. The same or similar to all animals that have a heart ! But do not have human psyche. So what we are getting at, is that a full grown human mannequin, was put to life, and had to be formed, from something other than dust, or mud. Because we all know, mud as such does not have all the components needed, to form all humans' components. This of course is contrary, from Evolution theory, of progress from unicellular beings, multi-cellular, then the first mammals in water, then amphibious, then land mammals, apes, and humanoids. One deriving from the other. Thus, sexual organs, are made as, near perfect, bio-chemical machines, to transmit the necessary information, for a miniature co-equal, that can grow, to a full grown human, to a controlled size, by the growth organ and hormones. This particularly we will mention ahead. The next point we are getting at, is that from the unicellular, multi-cellular, simple division into two equal forms, for procreation. We have a miniature, being, undergoing shaping nearly from scratch. From the inside out, not knowing the end result wanted (from the outside in), if it is Evolution. But surely knowing the result wanted, with the correct information, being transmitted, from the full size parents.

How God(s) made a full size human mannequin, come to life, from initial components, is astounding. Unless this God(s), really simply made Adam from nothing, simply doing a miracle, of using dust or mud, as only a basis for the miracle. Which they didn't need to do, if they could make things, matter, from nothing ! Normally in today's Genetic and Bio-Engineering, you use DNA, sperm and ovum's. In other words, the technique of "inside out", "from small to big", to tinker with human formation or other. But what you have to realize, the real big work of Engineering is already done, with the cells formed, and all necessary DNA present already !!! To make a cell from scratch, that will be the day ! If "Mother Nature" engineered DNA and cell formation, and under what process and functioning, I will convert to Evolution ! But Pure Logic is generally not beatable. So they would have to beat Pure Logic, first also !

Genesis 2:8-9

Dystopia – Quasi Innocence

"...God planted a garden eastward in Eden, and there he put man..."

"...out of the ground made the LORD(Lord) God to grow every tree that is pleasant to the sight, and good for food; the tree of life also in the midst of the garden, and the tree of knowledge of good and evil."

We have the planting of a garden called Eden, in verse 8. Where God(s) puts man. And there was "food" for this man and all other beings. We all ready mentioned, the problem, of digestion, bacteria, sickness, and feces. Or if Adam was in a special state, some theologians allege. Finally, in verse 9, we have fresh water and a river.

What is a literary "throw in", is what I do: "...; the tree of life also in the midst of the garden, and the tree of knowledge of good and evil." This section of verse 9, is an obvious add on. But be it what it may be, I will show how illogical such trees are, in Gen. chapter 3 !

Genesis 2:10-17

You will have to read these verses yourself. We have the knowledge of the names of things now. The name of Rivers and the name of land areas. If all humans were destroyed in the Noah flood/deluge, or Noah and his family kept this knowledge to be passed down. Or Moses or the author of the Old Testament Bible, Pentateuch/Torah, was revealed all this, amazingly, which is an illogical way of communication, by this God ! Or this information was passed down, by other humans also, and not all humans were destroyed in this deluge. Or something else happened, that escapes, what we are studying. The land of "Havilah", was used by Spaniards/catholics, etc., to name the Mountain at Caracas, Venezuela, as AVILA. Do not ask me why ? What connection does Venezuelan Indian names, have, and why the similitude, between Angel Falls (Kerepakupai-meru), and Australia's Ayres Rock (Ulu-ru) ? To some only coincidence, which is possible. Or to logic, a "too big a coincidence". Too many names are known, and interrelated ! How could Moses now of an old Euphrates, that would have been destroyed in a World Flood, that most christians believe in ? And then this new river be named equally the same, as the old one ! Not logical, with

Tree of Life ~ Organic Immortality

the explanations given. Certainly, those that named the new Euphrates, and the land of Assyria, were not inspired by this God(s), to rename a new river, by this old name. Or the World deluge left everything similar again. Or Moses uses known names, for old places, previous to the Flood.

My God ! What a mix-up ! You work it out ! My logic, has some possible explanations.

This is the first mention of Gold and precious stones, which this God likes, as the Book of Revelation(Apocalypse) shows. Or this God makes the celestial city of materials that appear to be Gold and precious stones. All the things that attract us here on Earth, because of their price or high value !

What I want to really get at is the tree of the knowledge of good and evil (vs.17), and the tree of life (vs.9).

Genesis 2:18-25

"...And...God said, It is not good that the man should be alone..."

God(s) made everything initially good, including man. And now he decides, that not all is "good" ! Man is alone, and the animals that were given to man, for companionship, are not enough ! Christians would say, this was for man to appreciate, a woman, from being lonely ! So this God is on a showing/teaching process ! But the Bible does not say that here. It says, God saw that man was alone. And that the animals were not "helpmeet" for man vs.20. So this "all knowledgeable God of past-present-future", has to do things he had not foreseen ! Well that is what this narrative is saying, without adding on a posterior explanation, if any !

Gen.2-21-25

"...the rib...God had taken from man, made he a woman..."

A God(s) that uses dust/mud to make man, from nothing, now actually uses, a source of all components (DNA) to make a woman,

Dystopia – Quasi Innocence

mannequin, which now is alive, for man, without further explanations, of being a living soul, or having been breathed the breath of life. Also, they were both "naked", and were not ashamed. Why would a God(s) make man naked and then woman naked ! This is a logical lack of sentiments or morale of this God. Why does he like man and woman naked ! Does he not feel, more comfortable dressed, to not hurt yourself, on things, etc. If you make things good, you do not make man and woman nude ! At least apes are hairy enough to protect themselves, and have better dignity, than a nude man or woman. As Indians of the jungles, etc. ! No ! God was showing them to appreciate, God killing animals, this good God had created, to dress them in skins ! My good gracious ! So this God(s) was planning to dress them ! Really. And what if they hadn't sinned ?! Were they going to be "nude" for ever or for a long time ? We all know that as for, procreation, a mammal generally, is born nude or nearly nude, if hairy. And that to esthetics, it looks nicer to not be so hairy, especially for women ! But was this God(s) so ancient, to have the mentality, of having them in the nude, and to not dress them with woven materials. ? Rather he creates or kills the first animals (his creation) to provide "treated skins to clothe them, like ancient "Neanderthal" style humans ! To clothe in skins, is an "ancient" minded procedure ! To leave man and woman in the nude, is not "entirely" a logical minded God(s). Well, the Indians of the jungle are in the "nude" or nearly, even today in modern times. Generally, because it is warm enough ! But we all know the afflictions they suffer from. Like insect bites, and sores, etc. Without mention of modern sicknesses. So God(s) did not clothe with "skins" these humans ! What an interesting fact ! Where do these humans of the Americas, fit in with the Oriental creation ? Surely, they could not have walked so far from the Orient over the Artic, or Antarctic, (in the nude) as Evolutionists would claim. This is unreasonable. These Indians have never walked, past their jungles, much less any further ! Some theology, assumes that Indians, are people that perverted themselves after Noah, and left society to live alone in the jungles. If I do not forget, I will give some assumptions, about this.

Genesis 3:1-24

Tree of Life ~ Organic Immortality

"...the serpent...he said unto the woman,...ye shall not surely die..." "...the day ye eat thereof...your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good from evil." (Eat of the fruit of the tree, which is in the midst of the garden).

There are many historic accounts of Adam and Eve, or of the first man and woman, in other civilizations, especially the Assyrians and Babylonians, and more ancient than the times of Moses and the Torah. The Jewish Encyclopedia, explains the probability, like other incidents related in the Book of Genesis up to the twelfth chapter, to be a cycle of adaptations from Assyro-Babylonian creation and origin myths. The Garden of Eden is not mentioned, or alluded to in any writings, of the Bible, before the post-exilic prophets (Eze.28:13; 31:9. Isa.51:3). This lack of further mention, in the Pentateuch, and the Law of Moses, and subsequent is "strange". Not even Abraham, father of the faith, mentions anything, nor Moses. So how did they believe in sin as caused at Eden? To theologians, other civilizations, descendants from Noah, after the World deluge destruction, would have learnt in stories, passed down of a first man and woman and Eden. Or was it revealed only to Moses, and these previous or contemporaneous civilizations, heard by mouth the teachings of the Torah? There is no evidence of this latter explanation, rather the first explanation would be more logical, but not Noah being the second father of humanity with his three sons, and the four wives. Or primitive humans started making up myth stories, from their evolutionary developing minds. As scientist, teach.

The serpent (in lower case letters), and it's sex depicted as, he, does not clearly associate it with being Satan or the Devil. Later teachings, add this on, or give this explanation. But this would not matter as such. What is said is that, the serpent spoke to the woman. This of an animal speaking, happens again in the Bible. The serpent tempted and tricked Eve. Why would the serpent want to do this, unless it was impersonated by someone or something, wanting to do them evil. Without God protecting them, in their so innocent state. The serpent told the woman, that she would not die, but would be as gods. Note the mention of God in plural as gods.

If you do not know, what it really means to "die", and you can be tricked by a serpent talking. It means you are pretty naive, or are not responsible for what you are doing. Especially if it is not only going to affect yourself, but everybody after ! Which was not explained ! ! ! We mentioned this situation is of quasi-innocence. Because, Adam and Eve were in innocence, in that there was no alleged sin. But if they could sin, without clearly knowing the consequences, plus God set up a quasi-trap, of an attractive fruit to eat, to quasi-innocent just made "mannequins", that had not had much life experience, and part of their minds were "veiled" in innocence, this is clearly illogical. If you are innocent 99.99%, you are not to blame nor responsible, for any mistake, nor really anything ! Pure logic does not believe in this kind of trial or consequences, in this way. And if this trial could be so, it should only affect Adam and Eve ! ! !

Adam said unto God. Gen.3:12 "The woman whom thou gavest to be with me, she gave me of the tree, and I did eat."

The man and woman, after eating of the "prohibited" fruit, realized they were naked, and sewed-wove, fig leaves together, and hid. As they did not eat of the tree of life, but of the knowledge of good and evil. Meaning again they did not understand about death, otherwise they would have tried to eat of the tree of life first. Where did they learn to sew leaves, when even I would not have known. Oh...! God must have taught them to sew. But then what for if they did not need to sew to put leaves on in innocence ? Logically surreal ! And not seemingly logical, from a so intelligent God and upbringing father. No human father, puts such a trial to a son or daughter normally, much less a so intelligent God. But this God of the Bible does this. This shows a very harsh and illogical minded God. This story lacks in much logic, and intelligence !

How this serpent walked upright, means somehow old stories, of arms and legs being cut, are similar (Gen.3:14).

Adam was told by this God, that as he had hearkened unto the voice of "his wife" (wife, and not companion in innocence !). So many men of old would blame women for their condition. It is a

wonder, after this story that men did not kill all women !!! Oh...! men, regardless of being evil, are attracted to women, and do not kill all ! We are talking mostly of ancient times, when populations were small !

God tells the woman she would conceive and procreate, as a consequence of sin. And that the earth would be cursed, bringing forth thorns, thistles. What about all the worse things, like sicknesses, diseases, poisons, "drugs(the scourge of the Earth)", death by drowning, etc...!!!

Genesis 3:19

"...till thou return to the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou return."

I do not like the lack of mention of spiritual life here, and the sole mention of being dust !

Genesis 3:21-24

God makes coats for the man and woman, of skins, and clothed them ! This implies, or this God kills animals recently created, for the skins that had to be cured. Or he creates separate skins ! So animals to this God, are of not much significance.

God is afraid now that a more intelligent being, that has sinned, will eat of the fruit of life, and live forever ! So he drove them out of Eden, and placed, Cherubims, and a flaming sword, to keep them out of Eden, or to the way of the tree of life. What a complete curse ! They additionally cannot decide if they want to live forever, when they could have eaten freely initially, from the tree of life. But did not really know ! Ridiculous !

Why is there only mention of living forever physically, and not after life. Rather that they would return to the dust they were made of. This theme and idea arises in the Bible way ahead in time. If Eden had to do, with the most important decision, man or woman have ever made, why is the theme of a spiritual life obviated, by this

God !!! Only a tree of the knowledge of good and evil, and a tree of life ! Could not more trees been included, like the one of eternal spiritual life. When such crucial decisions have to be made, that entail billions of people, do you think an all loving and intelligent God, would have done it this way. Not in a million years !!!